

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JACOB DAVID WOOLERY,

No. 2:21-cv-00270 AC P

Plaintiff,

v.

SHASTA COUNTY JAIL, et al.,

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

Defendants.

On June 16, 2023, plaintiff was ordered to file an amended complaint, and he was given thirty days to do so. ECF No. 11 at 6. Shortly thereafter, on June 27, 2023, the order was returned to the court as “Undeliverable, Return to Sender.”

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff’s copy of the order was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff’s responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is directed to assign a District Judge to this case.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to prosecute and for failure to obey a court order. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

1 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
2 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen after
3 being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with
4 the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and
5 Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time
6 waives the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.
7 1991).

8 DATED: September 12, 2023.

9
10 

11 ALLISON CLAIRE
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28